Dwindling research funds force firms' hands

Cutbacks in federal funding have colleges across the country scrambling to preserve programs that once seemed a matter of course. So the recent recession showing lurkew signs of dissipation, a restoration of federal university support appears unlikely.

What does this mean to the golf industry? Plenty.

The wise allocation of research dollars is now a tougher prospect, as large university facilities struggle to fund experimentation that, until recently, may have been taken for granted.

"Funds are no longer limited by the amount of research money we have coming in from the outside," said Dr. Hank Wilkinson of the University of Illinois. "Companies don't come up with their own research, they'll walk behind the best."

The problem is simple: Less government funding means less research, and Wilkinson has seen the effect of the federal chimps fast hand as an associate professor of turfgrass research in the Department of Plant Pathology/Agronomy.

At a recent industry function held at Jacklin Seed Co. in Portland, Idaho, Wilkinson addressed a crowd of seed folk outside the Jacklin research laboratory and greenhouse.

"I can tell you right now that we don't have a green light anywhere near this good at the University of Illinois," he said with a hint of admiration and melancholy.

The message was clear: Don't count on academia to provide the amount of research it has in the past. If the golf industry is to move forward, its members must take the research lead, because colleges and universities simply can't afford it any longer.

Yet it's not that simple. With money at a premium, research will follow the dollars. In other words, cash-strapped research facilities will be more likely to conduct scientifically testing at the behest of, say, Company X.

"The problem is this: The interest of Company X might not be that of the state, country or even the industry," said Wilkinson. "It's a real dangerous pitfall."

And 3) Futuristic research, which is "the cutting edge" rather than "the practical." "Companies are far more likely, at this point, to fund 1) and 2) — continued on page 36.

I remembered the Indian tribe in Quebec armed its members with rifles and took over a golf course. They pried cars into a barricade to keep people out and held off Mounties and other authorities for weeks. That golf course certainly was not insulated from bizarrity.

A Maryland architect, Davis Love, told me of a golf course project which drew out environmentalists in force. They didn't want the course built, they said, because it would infringe on the wildlife. Yet, a couple of miles away, "the cutting edge" had been approved.

The important difference between Mr. Sifers' comments and those of Mr. Hurdzan is that whilst Mr. Hurdzan was quite reasonably providing his opinions, Mr. Sifers was responding to direct questions by providing factual statements on the major research program conducted at Texas A&M University from 1985 to date (i.e. seven years). When Mr. Sifers stated that moisture content in the mesh elements rootzone was always slightly higher than in an equivalent non-mesh rootzone, he was referring to extensive studies undertaken over a two-year period.

Measurements were taken at four seasonal periods, at three soil depths on a large number of randomly arranged trial plots. The increased soil moisture content is, therefore, a fact and not a "claim" as stated in your quote from Mr. Hurdzan.

In a similar way, statements regarding reduced divot size and enhanced recovery rates due to the mesh elements inclusion are also based on extensive research data.

When and Dr. Beaud stated in the abstract of his paper to the GCSSA conference that "mesh element inclusion in high sand rootzones substantially reduced divot width and length" and that "recovery of the divot openings was more than twice as rapid," he was summarizing the factual observations obtained from five major field plot investigations conducted since 1985 and three ongoing studies.

That is not an "opinion" but a reasoned scientific observation.

Finally, Mr. Hurdzan justified his scepticism to a certain extent by his statement that "if this stuff is so great, why haven't people started saying..."

That is a very fair comment.

The answer is very simple. As a reputable company with a very long history, we have chosen not to promote the system in the U.S. until we had adequate research data to confirm the product's benefits. There have been a small number of installations in the U.S. during this time, including the Santa Anita race-track project mentioned in your article.

These have arisen as a result of clients approaching us directly, having learned of the success of the system elsewhere. The reason Mr. Hurdzan has not seen people use the system is that until this year, we have not been promoting the system in the U.S.

We are currently in the process of assembling a network of distributors to cover North America. The first of these have now been appointed.

Texas A&M University is due to publish a Research Bulletin later this year which will summarize the research carried out on mesh elements to date.

We shall be pleased to forward a copy to you when available. Perhaps you could report in detail on the major research findings as a follow-up to the article in question.

Once again, we thank you for introducing this topic to your readers.

Kind regards,
Tim Oliver
International manager
Nelson Advanced Turf Systems

Dwinding research funds force firms' hands
PHOENIX, Ariz. — CMX Group, Inc., a sports- and development-oriented engineering and project management company, has created CMX Golf.

Focusing on the golf engineering market, CMX Golf will facilitate and support design goals of the architect, coordinate owners' needs and integrate the course into the surrounding infrastructure.

It will be headed up by Darrell Wilson.

PHOENIX, Ariz. — Former U.S. Open and PGA champion David Graham, along with Scottsdale golf course architect Gary Panks, have announced Scottsdale-based Graham/Panks International has been retained to design a championship 18-hole layout in Indonesia.

Located 24 miles southwest of the country's capital city of Jakarta in the foothills of the Puncak Mountains, the Royal Jakarta Golf Club "will bring a whole new standard of golf course design to Indonesia," said Graham, a 20-year veteran of the PGA Tour.

"Indonesia has not seen much new golf course activity in the past decade," he said, "certainly not of this caliber. We see a new trend developing. Indonesia is right on the heels of Thailand as far as its own golf boom is concerned. It will be the country to watch in the 1990s."

The Royal Jakarta Golf Club, scheduled to open in the fall of 1995, is being developed by P.T. Fajar Marga Permai, headquartered in Jakarta.

The site consists of 3,300 acres of rugged, hilly terrain in a tropical rain forest just south of the Equator. Master-planned for an elaborate golf course resort and residential community, groundbreaking is expected early this fall.

Continued from page 10

"You can't take a small company and expect it to create that kind of research facility," Wilkinson admitted. "If you're a small company, you have to really focus your research dollars.

"Because if you don't develop it, you'll have to buy it."

Golf not insulated
Continued from page 10

down the road a shopping mall, complete with acres of hot-top parking, went through the public-hearing process with nary a word of distaste.

Wouldn't it be wonderful if more of the general public thought of golf courses as Brendan Gill does? In the August issue of Architectural Digest he writes: "Golf courses are among the most pleasing objects that man has fashioned out of nature — in the arts jargon of our day, they are sculptural earthworks that happen also to function well in ecological terms, shielding from the gross asphalt intrusions of suburbia several million acres of grass, trees, ponds, streams and wetlands. As for tennis, from an aesthetic and ecological point of view it is virtually a cipher."

Oh, for more Brendan Gills in the world. Instead, we have people with no eyes to see, ears to hear, or hearts to receive the truth. We have people who are quick to verbally assassinate a politician with the audacity to speak out against a rap singer who espoused the idea that black people should kill white people. How dare he? they asked. We have people who loot even the businesses that have paid their wages. We have roving gangs in the streets.

And, no, the golf industry is not insulated from insanity in this society of bizarrity.

Three years ago the late Don Rossi, then-executive director of the Golf Course Builders Association and former head of the National Golf Foundation, told me vandalism was a major problem on j courses. And that was before the new era of hard-nosed, club-wielding, no-reason-needed rioters.

So, watch out, say your prayers, for you never know when this violence is coming your way.
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