Dark green genetic off-type (T-3) appeared in patches on a Florida fairway in 1993, 2 years after Tifway bermudagrass was supposedly planted. The surrounding matrix grass (T-1) is yellowish green.

Golf course professionals have long been confronted with the problem of off-types in greens and fairways

DNA
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There is a world with perfect lawns in H.G. Wells’ *Time Machine*. Time Traveler found the future “air was free of gnats, the earth from weeds or fungi; everywhere were fruits and sweet and delightful flowers...” Predicting advances from technology, Wells pointed out that in the early 1900s nature was “...shy and slow in our clumsy hands. Some day all this will be better organized.”

Wells’ imaginary future was not altogether desirable. But for better or worse, unprecedented biological change is already here. This article answers basic questions about DNA technology, focusing on DNA in bermudagrass fingerprinting. We’ll show that DNA fingerprinting can provide quality assurance, by supporting the certification of Florida bermudagrass planting stock. First let’s look at the problem of off-type bermudagrasses.
Bermudagrass Fingerprinting

The problem: off-type bermudagrasses

Golf course professionals have long been confronted with the problem of off-types in greens and fairways. Off-types are genetic variants, generally of unknown origin. In a 1975 article, "What is happening to our bermudagrass?" Monty Moncrief recognized the occurrence of off-types. The complaint 20 years ago was that hybrid bermudagrass greens (i.e., Tifdwarf and Tifgreen) were showing patches with different characteristics. Ever since, a growing demand for faster greens, higher number of rounds and better year-round color have made these irregularities more conspicuous. Excessively close mowing may have further exposed genetic variants that would not be obvious at a higher cut. Rising expectations are as much behind the problem as the fact that we are growing grasses developed 35 years ago (i.e., Tifdwarf, Tifgreen and Tifway) that were never meant to be stressed in the way they are today.

Is this really a problem? Yes, it is a serious problem. Tifway and Tifdwarf...
DNA fingerprinting is any method for identification or comparison based directly on an organism's DNA.

Where do off-types come from?

Amazingly, we don't know where off-types come from. It is reasonable to presume that some off-types originate from contaminated sprig stock used to plant golf courses. Other off-types may by spontaneous mutations. They and seedling of common bermudagrass might also be redistributed on golfers' shoes, golf cart tires and mower parts. Nevertheless, and despite much speculation (Table 1), we still do not know where the off-types come from, how they may be prevented or if they can be prevented. DNA fingerprinting offers us the potential to understand the problem and solve it.

What is DNA fingerprinting?

DNA fingerprinting is any method for identification or comparison based directly on an organism's DNA (See related story, "What is DNA?" Page 64) Later we will discuss different DNA fingerprinting approaches, such as RFLPs and PCR profiling. The purpose of any technique is to tell whether a grass source is what it is claimed.

How can DNA fingerprinting help?

Golf course facing reconstruction, re-planting and new construction have quandaries such as, "How do we know we are getting clean planting stock?" and "How do we know we’re going to remain clean over the next five years?" DNA fingerprinting is offered as a key to understanding the problem, and it may provide a solution. This is because DNA fingerprinting can be used as a quality control step in the production and distri-
The advantage of DNA testing over other methods is its capability of being faster and more accurate.

...
Stoloniferous off-type (T-75) surrounded by matrix grass (T-74) on a Florida green. Both T-74 and T-75 differed in DNA profile from Georgia foundation ‘Tifdwarf’ and from one another.

Often, to detect the small amount of DNA, the visualization process uses radioactive isotopes.

Both RFLPs and PCR profiling methods produce banding patterns, much like the bar codes used at the supermarket checkout. Characteristic differences in banding patterns tell two grasses apart.

**How does DNA fingerprinting work?**

Both RFLPs and PCR approaches are founded on recognition sequences contained in the organism’s DNA. (See related story, “What is DNA?” Page 64) A recognition sequence is a region of DNA with a particular sequence of nucleotides, much like a signature or a pass code. Whereas RFLPs use both enzymes and complementary DNA sequences to do the recognizing, PCR methods use only the complementary property of DNA itself to do the recognizing.

For RFLPs, each enzyme used recognizes only a particular DNA sequence, and then cuts the DNA fragment at that point. For PCR, recognition occurs during the amplification, or copying, of DNA, in which specific primers are used to start the process of copying DNA. Primers bind specifically with “complementary” sequences. Each method produces characteristic fragments of consistent length, using recognition sequences at each end of the fragment. The recognition sequences are sometimes portrayed as “bookends.”

**Is one method of DNA fingerprinting best?**

No. The usefulness of a particular DNA fingerprinting method is based on its cost, reproducibility and power to discriminate. RFLPs involve a hybridization process that is laborious and requires a high level of technical skill. Often, to detect the small amount of DNA, the visualization process uses radioactive isotopes that require safety containment. In contrast, PCR is rapid, requires a lower level of technical skill and does not require the use of radioisotopes.

Recipe improvements in PCR profiling are constantly being made, and their use depends on the situation. The first two documented methods were RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA)
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An important safeguard is blind testing, where the scientists do not know the true identities of the material being evaluated.

Scientists are still making improvements to this new approach of DNA fingerprinting. Presently there is no universal standard for PCR profiling. Typically, a particular laboratory uses only one method. Consequently, there are few objective comparisons among methods. The goal of choosing a method is to produce powerful, repeatable results from the same plant materials. So, in adopting a PCR profiling method, it must be shown to work in different laboratories. Internationally, most scientists use an adaptation of RAPDs. During 1994 and 1995, according to a major literature citation service, there were over 350 publications citing the use of RAPDs.

Are there pitfalls?

Yes, several. A comparison is only as good as the sample, and only as good as the standard with which it is compared. If only one sprig was sampled, it might check out to be the expected grass, but the entire remainder of the field could be a contaminant. To be 100% sure, each of the million of sprigs in the field would have to be DNA fingerprinted. If one wanted even 95% certainty that the sprig field source was 95% pure, it would require 59 DNA fingerprints, cumulatively costing about $20,000 at current prices.

Costs could be reduced by various means. Sample pooling might help sometimes, but excessive pooling would reduce the sensitivity of the test, creating confusion. A thorough look at the genetic makeup of a field of grass is costly beyond imagination, so DNA fingerprinting must be used judiciously. Morphological markers and chromosome counts are less costly, and are therefore the front lines for off-type detection. The hybrid bermudagrasses are all supposed to have 27 chromosomes, while common bermudagrasses (including most seedling variants) have 36 chromosomes.

What safeguards are needed?

An important safeguard is blind testing where the scientists do not know the true identities of the material being evaluated. No procedure is 100% reliable, either because of inconsistent chemical reactions, human error or unexplained sources of variation. Another safeguard, mentioned previously, is to effectively repeat a procedure in different laboratories, and get the same results.

Standards are another sensitive issue, because it must be shown that the source standard (either breeder's or foundation stock) is itself consistent. Besides, the same standard should be used every year. There is currently no single national repository for maintaining the source material of released cultivars. At the University of Florida we are carefully maintaining source materials, which we have propagated from a single sprig.

How might DNA fingerprinting provide a research solution?

The first step is to document, through scientific publication, that a problem exists. This has never been done!

Next, DNA fingerprinting, in combination with morphology and chromosomes, should be used to discover the variation among bermudagrass off-types. By systematic procedures, we can test alternate hypotheses for their origin (Table 1). If we judge that off-types occur commonly as spontaneous mutations, then golf course superintendents and greens committees will be so informed.

If recurring mutations are the source of the problem, then they may not be preventable. This is probably not so, however, because there exist 30-year-old greens showing no evidence of off-types.

How might DNA fingerprinting provide a solution for the golf course?

DNA fingerprinting should be considered first as a tool for certification of source material, secondly for the validation of a grower's field and as
DNA fingerprinting can be a helpful tool in certification

a last resort for the golf course. While there is legal rationale for DNA fingerprinting a golf course, this will not correct the source of the problem. Without certification and other quality control efforts, the issue of off-types will continue to be debated and unresolved. The solution is for turfgrass certification inspectors to use chromosome counts, morphology and DNA testing as a routine quality control step, before grass is put on the market. 

What can we do meanwhile, before research is completed?

Four things: references, accountability, personal inspection and performance. (1) Request a list of 1-3 year-old plantings from prospective grassing contractors. (2) Request written documentation on where the source grass originated. (3) Personally inspect prospective source fields, hopefully having the opportunity to look at areas where the grass has been mown closely over several months. (4) Include appropriate performance specifications in the bid with timelines for inspection of quality and consistency and an appropriate remedy (hence, a performance bond.)

Conclusions

DNA fingerprinting can be a helpful tool in certification, if the technology is powerful and repeatable for bermudagrass off-types. DNA fingerprinting would help ensure the consistency of source plant material and sprig stock, and it can be used with chromosome counts and simple morphology.

It is important to show that the technique really works on bermudagrass off-types, and to document the presence and nature of off-types. This has never been done. We believe it will work. We also believe that it will give us a treasure of practical information on how to maintain the purity of improved bermudagrass.

While there is legal rationale for DNA fingerprinting a golf course, this will not correct the source of the problem
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Table 1.
Examples of hypothetical origin and genetic nature for off-type bermudagrasses. There is not a single instance in which any of these hypotheses has been either proved or disproved. Some suggested explanations are more probable than others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIGIN</th>
<th>MUTATIONS</th>
<th>GENETIC NATURE</th>
<th>CONTAMINATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of Planting Source;</td>
<td>Spontaneous mutation occurred once in breeder’s plots, 35 years ago, and no effort was subsequently made to repropagate from a single sprig;</td>
<td>Bermudagrass seeds blew in from a pasture to the certified sprig field and seedlings were unknowingly propagated;</td>
<td>Sprig grower allowed runners of different cultivars to creep across ditches separating adjacent fields; fields were mown high, and this variation went undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Construction</td>
<td>Dozer operations caused mechanical stress on underground bermudagrass rhizomes, causing them to mutate into new genetic variations</td>
<td>A little bird flew over the golf course one day, dropping a seed. A few weeks later, the seed germinated, forming a plant which eventually took over the golf course</td>
<td>Fumigant was unable to penetrate deeply enough to kill dormant rhizomes of ‘Common’, because a high organic content tied up MeBr near the surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting and Grow-in</td>
<td>An herbicide was used which is known to be a mitotic arrester, thus potentially mutagenic; a combination of chromosome breakages and endopolyploid cells resulted in new genetic variations</td>
<td>‘Common’ bermudagrass seeds were tracked in from adjacent rough; because soil was primarily bare, these had an opportunity to germinate and become established</td>
<td>Planting crew used the same truck to haul ‘Tifdwarf’ and ‘Tifway’ sprigs, without cleaning between loads. ‘Tifway’ was inadvertently carried over in the lot of ‘Tifdwarf.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Operations</td>
<td>Greens were exposed to UV light, which caused turf cells to mutate spontaneously</td>
<td>In a one-in-a-million occurrence, ‘Tifway’ produced an unreduced gamete, which was fertilized by pollen from ‘Common’ bermudagrass growing along an adjacent highway</td>
<td>Golfers, golf car tires or mower parts carried seeds or sprigs from rough; cup cutter inadvertently redistributed contaminants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is DNA?

DNA is the blueprint for all life forms, from animals to plants. As a script tells the actor or actress what part to play, DNA tells the organism what it will be: animal, plant, microbe. DNA differences between two organisms can be small or large. This explains how siblings can be similar, yet not the same. DNA differences also explain how a virus can produce another virus, and an elephant can produce another elephant.
So DNA is genes?

No, not entirely. Most DNA in plants and animals has no essential purpose in the organism. Most DNA is either repetitive script, or a series of scrambled messages that are no long part of the required genetic script. Sometimes this extra DNA is called junk DNA.

How is DNA organized in the cell?

DNA is a linear text, packaged into chromosomes. Because the DNA molecule is a double helix, there are two strands. One strand is the code, which tells the cell what to do, and makes you you. The other strand is a complementary image. Both strands are copied to produce two new double-stranded molecules. The copying of DNA is an exact process, due to the exact manner by which two strands bind to one another. This exactness is called complementarity, and it results because subunits bind only with certain other subunits.

How does the cell read and write the DNA text?

As with any language, there are subunits. The basic subunit for talking the language of DNA is the nucleotide. Although DNA has only four kinds of nucleotides, they can be arranged in a multitude of combinations. A few thousand nucleotides are enough to blueprint an important kind of chemical in the cell, a protein. Different kinds of proteins serve as enzyme catalysts and provide other vital functions in the cell. Since human DNA is composed of a sequence of three billion nucleotides, our DNA text easily blueprints the tens of thousands of enzymes needed to create each of us.

How does knowing the genetic code help identify grasses?

It doesn’t. For identifying grasses, the actual function of DNA is pretty much irrelevant. We treat DNA as it if were just like a fingerprint, something for which it doesn’t matter if there is a purpose. Some DNA fingerprinting procedures use DNA that is known not to be part of a gene. Why? Because there are lots of those sequences, their degree of repetition can be characteristic of a particular individual, and these sequences show more natural variation than is normally found in essential genes.