It's the Women Who Pick the Cinema Heroes



Screen Idol

Styles Ever

Changing

By MAE TINEE THE time is 1911 - or thereabouts. The motion picture has just recently hopped over the horizon and the girls are just cr-r-azy about a large blondor maybe he is a brunette-gentleman with a radiant smile, a comehither eye, and ever-yerning arms that clasp flickery femmes of the silver sheet to an unbelievably

Smile, eyes, arms, breast, sonorous and emotional delivery - all these has the screen idol of the days when the movies are new.

And believe him, he knows it!

And how he treasures them and pampers them | BUT not one-tenth

as much as he nurses what he con-

It shrouds him like a veil. Like

seven veils. And whither he goeth

his press agent anxiously trips

along, keeping the diaphanous

Fan letters stream in-to be an-

swered with a coo in every en-

velope. The recipient sleeps on

her missive for her hero in it has inferred that he's been waiting for

She doesn't wot that he's mar-

ried and perhaps the father of five

children -- for herein lies his mys-

tery. Marriage and offspring must

be kept a deep, dark secret.

Should news of either leak out,

why-pout l-good-by movie idol.

At least, so the pioneer screen

heroes believed. And dire wrath

was visited by them on movie ed-

itors who ventured to say-not lik-

ing to be compleat liars even for

the good of a cause—in answer to

inquiries, "He says he isn't mar-

In this category came Francis X

Bushman, Maurice Costello, Ar-

thur Johnson, J. Warren Kerrigan,

the chap who was "so good to his

mother" in all press notices. Here were men who courted personal

contact with their devotees. They

loved to appear at movie balls. squeeze soft hands-just a little-

and murmur in eager ears dulcet

words that were encouraging—but

. . .

The World war brought about a

change in taste. Slim, sophisticat-

ed flappers craved subtlety in their

screen men. And in a big way

went for Wallace Reid, Milton Sills,

Bert Lytell, Thomas Meighan, Con-

way Tearle. Eugene O'Brien, John

Then along came Rudolph Val-

entino to fill a niche all his own.

He not only brought romance into

every woman's life; he aroused the

mother instinct in every woman. In

vain did rival producers try to in-

troduce somebody who would be

"just as good." They advanced

their Ramon Novarros and their

George Rafts all to no account.

the ladies. And they're still crying.

For up to date nobody has come

along with that special appeal

which made the Italian boy so

A day dawned when feminine

movie fans turned a cool shoulder

on pretty fellows and charlotte

russe tactics. It was a changing

dear to the hearts of everybody.

WE WANT VALENTINO!" cried

never compromising.

Gilbert.

ried.

siders his most important asset-

strapping breast.

His MYSTERY.

folds pinned together.

such as she all his life.



Francis X. Bushman, old-time idol of the films and once called king of the screen.



When Wallace Reid was the rage sophisticated flappers were demanding subtlety in their screen men.



One of those perpetually popular is Warner Baxter.



And another popular perennial is Ronald Colman.

world and the girls wanted ugly. progressive men who had what it took to buck what came along. And so the tough boys came in for their fling.

Witness, then, as ladies' delights, Victor McLaglen and Edmund Lowe. James Cagney smacked his

screen tomatoes down. Edward G.



One of the tough boys of the screen, James Cagney.

Robinson crushed 'em to earth. and, unlike Truth, they never rose again. Lee Tracy called them by their right names and made 'em

Clark Gable proved a fascinating combination of handsomeness and pugnacity

Sweet and tough! I guess right here we can list Robert Montgomery, Fredric March, Richard Arlen, James Dunn, and Pat O'Brien.

Of course, there are the "perpetuals," too. Those ever bloomers like Ronald Colman, Warner Baxter, and John Barrymore. Their "infinite variety" keeps them eternally on the crest of popularity.

Which brings us up to today. And what will you have today, madame, in the way of cinema

Well, madame says, she would like something fresh, young, and progressive. No collar ad type, thank you. Just a nice up-andcoming male such as she might meet in most any walk of life. She would like him healthy, well groomed, and smiling. He must be kind - but not too kind. Sympa-



Victor McLaglen, rough but de-



Edward G. Robinson simply



Fresh, young, and progressive, Robert Taylor meets the present-day choice of women. Here he is with Jean Harlow in "Personal Property."





Rudolph Valentino aroused the mother instinct in every woman. "We want Valentino!" the women cried.



Clark Gable, handsome and pugnacious.

thetic, but not slushy. Quick on the come-back

And so right off the reel, quick! she picks Robert Taylor, six feet tall, blue eyes, brown hair, and Pomona college. Player of tennis. Reader of psychology—and almost idiotically devoted to a collie dog



Tyrone Power, clean cut and boyish.

named Sport that howls under his window each morning to wake

Also -- give her Tyrone Power good-looking son of the late Shake spearean actor whose name h bears. This is the boying-looking clean-cut chap who made his firs movie hit in "Lloyds of London, scored a hit in "Love Is News, and is now working in "Cafe Metropole." He has zip, poise, and a general air of being to the man

Edward Norris is the son of doctor and a graduate of Culve Military academy. The ladies have held thumbs up for him ever since they saw him in "Queen Chris tina," "Murder in the Fleet," and Small Town Girl."

Then, of course, there's James Stewart, whom we all loved in Born to Dance" and whose mos recent film is "Seventh Heaven." A tall, lean, drawling fellow who to quote him, "was born in a smal town and lived right there till went to college. My experiences were the same as those of a mi lion average boys. The only differ ence between me and any of the rest of them is that instead o going into my father's hardware business I got sidetracked into the theater."

We've all got our hair down these days. We're demanding facts seasoned with fiction rathe than the fiction tinctured with fac which once satisfied us.

And the movie idol of today i the chap who, by not too long stretch of her imagination, a lad could imagine singing in her ow

Letters published in this department should be written on one side of the paper. If you wish a personal reply please inclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Dear Miss Tinee: For once and for all. why can't an end be made to all this argument over who should be who in



Gone with the Wind "? It seems to me that when Miss Mitchell wrote the book she had a definite actor or actress in her mind for each part. The inevitable choice for the heroine, the one Hollywood female capable of heading the cast of so great a story, is Margaret Sullavan. She is a real actress, not

Nominated for lead in "Gone with the Wind." a Hollywoodtrained emoter. Her stage experience has developed those intricacies of her person-

ality which go to make a real actress. My other choices are: Clark Gable for Rhett Butler (although his actual histrionic ability is very much void). The ideal cast

Rhett Clark Gable Melanie.....Frances Dee .Leslie Howard Gerald Edmund Gwenn

.. Spring Byington .. Eric Linden Laura Hope Crews Helen Westley Pitty Pat Billie Burke India......Gale Sondergaard Thank you for your indulgence. Now I'll be gone with the wind, too. RICHARD G.

Editor's note: Well, that's a thoughtfully selected cast, anyway. I wish somebody would page Miss Mitchell and get her ideas on the subject, don't you? Blow in again some time.—Mae Tinée.

Dear Mae Tinee: What a surprise and pleasure it was to see Tom Moore in the cast of "Reunion," and with quite a prominent part. His speaking voice is grand, with just a touch of broaue. I sincerely hope to see more of him in pictures. Please tell me something about him-what he has been doing since he played in silents" with Alice Joyce.

ADRIENNE MACK. Sincerely,

Editor's note: Mr. Moore has appeared in minor rôles from time to time. He married Eleanor Merry in 1931. Yes, it's like coming home to see old-timers making good in the talkies!

Dear Mae: Why do the theaters put on double features so persistently? Do they think they are doing a good deed? For one thing, it is hard on the eyes; secondly, two idle hours are wasted when a person could indulge in something of more proctical value to him. Also, why do the movie people specialize so in feature pictures alone? Let's have more comedies, cartoons, etc. Editor's note: There are some questions

only the "movie people" can answer.

Dear Miss Tinee: I disagree one hundred per cent with your review of "Quality Street" appearing in The

Tribune. In my

opinion it is one

of Katharine

Hepburn's best

pictures since

'Little Women."

The picture was

a delightful com-

edy and I found

it very witty and

refreshing. What

gets me is when

you praise a pic-

ture like "Wom-

en of Glamour,"

which in my

opinion, if I may

be permitted to

say so, was



A Hepburn fan says a word for " Quality Street."

lousy. Sincerely yours, MARGARET JEAN BURKE.

One of Hepburn's many fans." Editor's note: The thing that gets me down about people who disagree with me is that they may be right. Come again.

Dear Mae Tinee: After reading your review of the talking picture "Seventh Heaven" I can't resist the temptation of writing this letter



JANET GAYNOR wins a reader's praise.

both the silent and sound versions and can truthfully say that the "talkie" was without doubt the more disappointing. Why Simon Simone was ever chosen for the part of Diane after lanet Gaynor's excellent work in the same role is beyond The only out-

standing actor

have witnessed

was Jean Hersholt, whose acting is always sincere. The sound version lacked depth and certainly could not be compared with the silent

This is my first letter to you, Miss Tinee. I hope you won't mind my being so dis-ADELINE HAYES.

Sincerely, Editor's note: You've not been "disagreeable," and I'm glad of the interest which caused you to write me. Do it