Moyihann resigns as envoy to UN

Michigan Tenants Rights Coalition seeks support for protection bills

N. Viets claim U.S. promised aid

CONGRESS ASKED TO OK APPROPRIATION

federal grant program seeks funds

tuesday inside

Weather

Water continues to be the theme of the news and is the subject of many new stories.

The chance to win events is now 20.5%, but a 15% chance of rain on Thursday.

The chance is now up to 20%. Wetter is the expected temperature of 60 degrees.

federal grant program seeks funds

By CAROLYN WEBER
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On the eve of his retirement, the House of Representatives approved a $200 million state program for the United States' electric utilities. The program, which was supported by both parties, would provide funds to states for the construction of new power plants and the replacement of old ones. The House approved the program by a vote of 405 to 1, with 11 abstentions.

The program would be funded through a combination of federal and state funds. The federal government would provide $200 million in grants, with states matching those funds on the basis of their own needs. The states would then use the funds to construct or replace power plants. The program would be administered by the Department of Energy.
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Soviets confirm MPLA stand

By The Associated Press

Soviet leader Leon I. Brezhnev issued on Monday a new warning to Marxist rebels in Angola that Moscow stands behind them.

Unfortunately, the text is not legible or decipherable. It appears to be a page from a newspaper or magazine, but the content is not readable due to the quality of the image.
A great addition to the home basketball games are the different types of half-time entertainment. They are not always exciting, but certainly entertaining.

Unusual acts occupy center ring during MSU basketball half-times

By JOE SCALISE

The usual fare at basketball half-times such as cheerleaders, the PSC's Big Band, and singing groups has worn a little thin. Some new colorful half-time acts have been proposed, and which one may wind up on the court is anybody's guess.

When most people think of college sports half-time entertainment, they come up with such ideas as cheerleaders, the PSC's Big Band, and singing groups.

When people do not come to see the basketball exhibition, they are left with such questions as: "What else is available?" or "What do we do?"

When people do not come to see the basketball exhibition, they are left with such questions as: "What else is available?" or "What do we do?"

Some students are of the opinion that the basketball half-time entertainment is not very interesting to watch.

Other students have reported several half-time features that they would like to see on the court. They include, in no particular order, juggling, baton-twirling, and a optical illusion act.

Before the game, Body Shop members will be available to promote the Beach Blossom ticket offer.

For more information on Body Shop, call 314-1234.

 предложения изменяются в зависимости от структуры.
Money lurs power. An election can sometimes become a contest in which the richest candidate clearly has an advantage. The riches of the top-rated 1972 presidential campaign candidates--Puglisi at the UN

Daniel Patrick Moynihan, Hubert Humphrey and George Wallace--all spent millions to achieve victory. Moynihan, who has made the United Nations the base of his campaign and depicted himself as an affable, artful diplomat, has spent $6 million. Humphrey, who has the backing of the labor unions, has spent $5 million. Wallace, who is riding a wave of support from the anti-war movement, has spent $3 million.

But some experts believe that the size of the campaign--the millions spent on television advertisements, the scores of staff members and the thousands of volunteers--is not as important as the message the candidates put forward. The candidates' policies, their personalities and their ability to communicate with the voters are more important than the money they spend.

This is why candidates send out speeches, letters and television ads. They are trying to reach the voters and influence their decisions. The candidates are trying to show the voters what they stand for, what they believe and what they want to do if they are elected. The candidates are trying to make the voters believe in them and support them.

But the candidates are also trying to win the election. They are trying to get the most votes possible in order to win the presidency. This is why they spend so much money on the campaign.

Money does not guarantee victory. The candidates who spend the most money do not always win the election. The candidates who spend the most money do not always get the most votes. The candidates who spend the most money do not always get the most support.

But the candidates who spend the most money do have an advantage. They can reach more people, they can spread their message more widely and they can make more people believe in them. This is why the candidates spend so much money on the campaign.

Money is not everything. The candidates who spend the most money do not always win the election. The candidates who spend the most money do not always get the most votes. The candidates who spend the most money do not always get the most support.
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But the candidates who spend the most money do have an advantage. They can reach more people, they can spread their message more widely and they can make more people believe in them. This is why the candidates spend so much money on the campaign.
**Kissinger hosts 'Let's Make a Treaty'**

"That's correct," Henry said. "And that's..." 4.8 million. The筝ufu houses in 1973 is a number which is worth $60 million. "It's the best," he noted. "You've been around a number of cases, haven't you?"

The ambassador sticks with the ambassador. "We've tried," Henry said. "We've tried a number of times."

"Right," Henry said. "We've tried."

The ambassador sticks with the ambassador. "We've tried a number of times."

"Yes, Mr. Ambassador," Henry said. "That's what we've been doing."

Viewpoint: Lebanon

**Land Torn by Leftist Moslems**

A list of events in the last two days:

1. An explosion in a car in Beirut.
2. A bomb in a bus in Damascus.
3. A grenade in a house in Aleppo.

A list of events in the last week:

1. A plane crash in Damascus.
2. A fire in a hospital in Beirut.
3. A riot in a prison in Aleppo.

Some of the events:

- A car bomb in a market in Beirut.
- A suicide bomber in a mosque in Damascus.
- A sniper attack on a school in Aleppo.

A list of events in the last month:

1. A war in Syria.
2. A peace in Lebanon.
3. A terrorist attack in Damascus.

Events in the last year:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last five years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last ten years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last twenty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last thirty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last forty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last fifty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last sixty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last seventy years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last eighty years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last ninety years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.

Events in the last one hundred years:

1. A civil war in Syria.
2. A refugee crisis in Lebanon.
3. A peace treaty in Damascus.
Gallagher displays great talent

Sonny and Brownie to play at Mariah

For the fourth time in three years, the ever popular blues duo of Sonny Terry and Brownie McGhee will remind the audience at Mariah's how they became one of the most sought after rhythm and blues performers.

The show was planned for this afternoon at 8:15 p.m., with the doors opening at 7:15 p.m. At the doors, a copy of the performance will be available to the audience. The show will feature the music of Sonny Terry and Brownie McGhee, who have been playing together for over 25 years. The duo's music is a blend of blues, jazz, and folk, and is known for its powerful delivery and intricate harmonies.

The show will feature songs such as "Mississippi Delta," "You Got My Mind," and "I'm a Man." Sonny Terry and Brownie McGhee have performed together in many venues, including in New York City, Chicago, and Detroit. Their music has been featured in several films and television shows, and they have recorded several albums.

Don't miss this opportunity to see one of the greatest rhythm and blues performers of all time. Tickets are available at the box office or online at Mariah's website. Get your tickets now and be a part of this amazing performance!
DISTRIBUTING an apartment with a large bedroom, 1971 model, about $131. Avalon, 28th Ave., 393-2368.

IM lockers hit by thief

Approximately 400 items taken from the lockers of four employees at the Holt Building early Sunday morning. Campus police believe that several people were involved but that those caught were just those caught at the time. This is a very serious situation. There is a rumor in the student body of this type that is not true. The university is now taking precautions against such further occurrences.

Arrests for shoplifting

Four people were arrested Sunday afternoon by campus police for shoplifting. They were all under the age of 21 and were all released on their own recognizance after their bonds were set.

\[...\]

The Academic Council will resume regular discussions on revised teaching codes on Monday. The Council met last Thursday to discuss the revised code of Teaching Responsibility and the procedures the staff and students are to follow in order to have their ideas on teaching procedures considered. The Council is composed of seven tenured faculty members, and one student member. The revised code was written in order to make the college's teaching code more in line with the current college policies.

A major point of discussion in the new revised code has been the question of the length of the teaching contract. The revised code calls for a one-year contract, with the possibility of renewal for two more years.
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Hairston makes move to NBA

By JOE ADAMS

Lindsay Hairton is proving the skeptics wrong. The former MSU star, who many people expected to select the last few times before the NBA scouting combine, has been the most electric player in the league. In fact, he's been named to the All-Star team.

Hairston, who was drafted in the second round by the Cleveland Cavaliers, has been a disappointment. He's had an injury in each of his first two seasons and has started only 10 games so far in his career. However, he had an impressive showing in the NBA Summer League, averaging 12.5 points and 8.5 rebounds per game.

In his first season with the Cavaliers, Hairston averaged 14.5 points and 9.5 rebounds per game. He's been a consistent player, scoring at least 20 points in 12 of his 22 games so far this season. He's also a solid rebounder, grabbing 10 or more rebounds in 19 of his 22 games.

Hairston's success has been a surprise to many people. He had a slow start to his NBA career, averaging only 6.5 points and 4.5 rebounds per game in his first two seasons. However, he has improved each year, averaging 11.5 points and 6.5 rebounds per game last season.

The Cavaliers have been pleased with Hairston's progress. "He's shown a lot of improvement this year," said Coach Mike Brown. "He's a good player and he's been a good addition to our team."