# The Cost of Producing Celery in West Central Michigan 

by ALLEN E. SHAPLEY<br>Farm Management Specialist<br>Department of Agricultural Economics

MICHIGAN ranks third in the nation in the production of celery, a position it has held for over 15 years. Michigan's percentage of total U.S. production has grown slowly over time. In 1964, Michigan produced $5.8 \%$ of the nation's celery, and by 1982 this figure was $8.1 \%$ or 1,551,000 cwt.

The climate in Michigan is conducive to the production of very high quality celery for both fresh and processed markets. However, diseases, pests and local extremes in weather make celery a high risk crop that requires a high level of horticultural skills. The recent increase in input prices relative to product price also demands higher levels of skill in, and information for, practicing farm business management if growing celery is to remain profitable. This report is an attempt to provide some of that needed information.

## Data Gathering Procedure

Information was gathered from West Central Michigan celery growers, Michigan Celery Promotion Cooperative staff, farm input suppliers and Cooperative Extension Service personnel. Most data were gathered through small group discussions with growers reaching consensus on the items discussed. A "typical"-sized celery operation for the area was agreed upon and investment and cost data were estimated for that size farm. Other data were reviewed by growers for accuracy and relevance before inclusion.

## Uses of the Study

This report should be of value in a number of situations. For the grower producing celery plus other crops, it may aid in the decision to expand, reduce or hold constant the celery enterprise. Celery growers can compare their costs with those given here, and get an indication of how efficient they are in producing celery relative to a "typical" grower. Growers, sellers, processors and buyers may find the information useful in marketing decisions. Finally, growers considering the addition of celery to their business, can compare information in this report with similar information on their other enterprises to determine the relative profitability of one to the other.

CAUTION: Figures given here are consensus estimates for a "typical" farm and will vary from those found on any particular farm. For example: since no two farms would have the identical line of machinery with identical age, this report presents a representative line of machinery at average value. In this way, the figures used are a "best estimate" and should be used accordingly.

Structuring the Costs

The various costs included in this study are divided into two categories: fixed and variable. Fixed costs include those that vary little, if any, with the amount produced on the farm (such as property taxes and interest on investment). Variable costs include those that vary more directly with production, including hired labor, fuel, fertilizer, pesticides, etc.

On farms with more than one enterprise, the allocation of the fixed costs to the various enterprises can involve difficult and somewhat arbitrary decisions. This was not a serious problem here, since much of the data came from farms that produce and package celery only, so our typical farm is a one-enterprise operation. Therefore, all fixed costs in this study could be charged directly to that one enterprise.

At the end of the report, the 1982 Michigan figures are compared with those for producing celery in Florida. Since Florida cost categories are different from those used in Michigan, the Michigan data were recategorized to fit the Florida model when making that comparison.

## Underlying Assumptions

Assumptions made in the development of this study:

- The typical farm is located in West Central Michigan and consists of 80 acres (of which 50 are muck soil) devoted to celery production each year, with the remaining acres in roads, buildings and wasteland.
- A practice of $10 \%$ double cropping is followed, resulting in 55 acres of celery grown each year.
- The farm carries sufficient greenhouse space, packing facility and machinery to grow, harvest and pack 55 acres of celery.
- The "double rooting" system is used for growing plants in the greenhouse.
- The values used for buildings and equipment reflect, as near as possible, the average value (new price + salvage value) of each item.
- The first five acres planted are provided with frost protection.


## Farm Investment Costs

Tables 1 and 2 present the investment items for the typical celery farm and the annual depreciation costs for those items. Table 3 presents all the fixed costs, including depreciation, associated with the investment items. These fixed costs, sometimes referred to as ownership costs, are incurred whether or not a crop is produced. The one exception is the machinery maintenance cost, which is affected by both amount of use and ownership.

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT COSTS FOR CELERY PRODUCTION
Table 1. 80 Acre Assumed Farm (50 A. Tillable) West Central Michigan 1983

| Item | New Price | Salvage Value | Average Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Depreciation } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRACTORS |  |  |  |  |
| 80 H.P. diesel | \$26,000 | \$10,000 | \$18,000 | \$1,600 |
| 60 H.P. diesel | 19,000 | 9,000 | 14,000 | 1,000 |
| 40 H.P. diesel | 15,000 | 7,000 | 11,000 | 800 |
| 40 H.P. gas (old) | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - |
| 30 H.P. gas (old) | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | - |
| A.C.Model G (old) | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | - |
| Small crawler (old) | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |
| I.H. Model BN (fork lift) | 7,000 | 4,000 | 5,500 | 300 |
| TILLAGE |  |  |  |  |
| 4-16" plow | 9,000 | 1,200 | 5,100 | 780 |
| $12^{\prime}$ disc | 5,000 | 500 | 2,800 | 450 |
| Spring tooth drag (old) | 200 | 200 | 200 | - |
| Cultipacker | 2,500 | 500 | 1,500 | 200 |
| Subsoiler | 2,600 | 600 | 1,600 | 200 |
| 6 'rototiller | 3,500 | 800 | 2,200 | 270 |
| 12' land leveler | 4,000 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 300 |
| PLANTING |  |  |  |  |
| Transplanters(2) | 4,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 200 |
| 2 row corn planter (old) | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| 3 pt. fertilizer spreader | 1,000 | 500 | 800 | 50 |
| 2 row side dresser | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| CROP MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |
| 6 row cultivator | 3,000 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 200 |
| Small cultivator (old) | 200 | 200 | 200 | - |
| 250 gal. sprayer | 2,500 | 500 | 1,500 | 200 |
| 3 pt. sprayer | 1,500 | 500 | 1,000 | 100 |
| Wire hoops | 2,200 | - | 1,100 | 220 |
| Irrigation system | 12,000 | 2,000 | 7,000 | 1,000 |
| Irrigation trailer | 750 | 250 | 500 | 50 |
| HARVEST |  |  |  |  |
| Harvester | 12,000 | 5,000 | 8,500 | 700 |
| Wagons (4) | 6,000 | 2,000 | 4,000 | 400 |
| GREENHOUSE EQUIPMENT | 12,000 | 2,000 | 7,000 | 1,000 |
| PACKING |  |  |  |  |
| Conveyors | \$ 5,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 400 |
| Waste disposal | 5,000 | 1,000 | 3,000 | 400 |
| Strapping mach. | 6,800 | 2,000 | 4,000 | 480 |
| Blower | 1,500 | 500 | 1.000 | 100 |
| 200 bu. spreader (2) | 13,000 | 1,000 | 7.000 | 1,200 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |
| 4 WD pickup | 10,000 | 1,000 | 5.500 | 900 |
| 2 T stake truck (old) | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| Power shop tools | 4,000 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 300 |
| 20 KW generator | 3,000 | 2,000 | 2,500 | 100 |
| $5^{\prime}$ ditch mower | 3,500 | 1,500 | 2,500 | 200 |
| 6' 3 pt. blade | 700 | 300 | 500 | 40 |
| Fuel tanks | 200 | 200 | 200 | - |
| Tools, parts | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| TOTALS | \$216,150 |  | \$145,200 | \$14, 140 |

C/ A 10 year life was assigned to all machinery and equipment.
ee/ Determined by adding new price and salvage value and then dividing sum by 2 .

Table 2.
LAND, BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENT COSTS FOR CELERY PRODUCTION 80 Acre Assumed Farm (50 A. Tillable) West Central Michigan 1983

| Item | New Price | Years of Life | Salvage Value | Average Value | Annual Depreciation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land (80 A) | \$275,000 |  |  | \$275,000 | --- |
| Tile (50 A) | 50,000 | 25 | 0 | 25,000 | \$2,000 |
| Greenhouse ( $10,000 \mathrm{sq}{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 13,000 | 20 | \$2,000 | 7,500 | 550 |
| Greenhouse Beds | 10,000 | 20 | 0 | 5,000 | 500 |
| Packing Shed ( $4,800 \mathrm{sq}{ }^{\prime}$ ) | 23,000 | 25 | 5,000 | 14,000 | 720 |
| 3 Water Wells ${ }^{\text {I/ }}$ | 12,000 | 25 | 0 | 6,000 | 480 |
| TOTALS | \$383,000 |  |  | \$332,500 | \$4,250 |
| TOTALS (Bldgs. and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvements only) | \$108,000 |  |  | \$ 57,500 | \$4,250 |

1/
Water wells are $6^{\prime \prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ to supply greenhouse, packing shed and irrigation system.

Table 3.
FIXED COSTS FOR CELERY PRODUCTION
80 Acre Assumed Farm ( 50 A . Tillable) West Central Michigan 1983

| Item | Cost | Cost | Acre ${ }^{\text {I/ }}$ |  | Your |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPRECIATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bldgs. \& Improv. (from Table 2) | \$ 4,250 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Mach. \& Equip. (from Table 1) | 14,140 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Depreciation | \$18,390 | \$ | 334 |  |  | \$ |
| INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land (\$275,000 x 5\%) | \$13,750 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Bldgs. \& Improv. (\$57,500) x 10\%) | 5,750 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mach. \& Equip. ( $\$ 145,200 \times 10 \%$ ) | 14,540 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Interest | \$34,020 | \$ | 619 |  |  | \$ |
| REPAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bldgs. \& Improv. (\$57,500 x 3\%) | \$ 1,725 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Mach. \& Equip. (\$145,200 X 10\%) | 14,520 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Total Repairs | \$16,245 | \$ | 295 |  |  | \$ |
| TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$ 5,950 | \$ | 108 |  |  | \$ |
| INSURANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop., Mach. \& Equip. | \$ 1,800 |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Vehicles (inc. license) | 660 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Insurance | \$ 2,460 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |
| TOTAL FIXED COST | \$77,065 |  | 401 |  |  | \$ |

1/ "per acre" figures based on 55 acres ( 50 acres $+10 \%$ double cropping).

Table 4.
VARIABLE COSTS PER ACRE FOR CELERY PRODUCTION 80 Acre Assumed Farm (55 A. Celery) West Central Michigan 1983́ㅡ́/


1/ Figures based on 55 A. due to 50 A. tillable $+10 \%$ double crop. 2/ An assort. of chemicals were used.

## Table 5.

WORKSHEET FOR CALCULATING COSTS PER ACRE of Celery on Your Farm

## Total Fixed Cost Per Acre

Step 1. Calculate the DIRTI 5 costs for your total farm using Tables 1, 2 and 3 as reference. Total fixed cost for your farm. \$ $\qquad$
Step 2. Multiply the figure in Step 1 by the percent you want to charge to the celery enterprise.

Total fixed costs charged to celery enterprise. \$
Step 3. Divide the figure in step 2 by acres of celery in the celery enterprise. TOTAL FIXED COST PER ACRE.
\$
Total Variable Costs Per Acre (Use Table 4 as a reference.)

| Item | Total Cost for Celery Enterprise | Cost Per Acre (Col. 1 : Acres of Celery) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |
| Spray, dust |  |  |  |
| Labor; cultural |  |  |  |
| Fuel, oil; growing <br> Machinery repair ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |  |
| Supplies; growing |  |  |  |
| Utilities |  |  |  |
| Int. on oper. cap. |  |  |  |
| Fue1, oil; harvest |  |  |  |
| Supplies; harvest |  |  |  |
| Labor; harvest |  |  |  |
| Sales comm. |  |  |  |
| Marketing (net) |  |  |  |
| Cooling |  |  |  |
| Research |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| TOTAL VARIABLE COST |  |  | \$ |
| TOTAL FIXED AND VAR | ST PER ACRE |  | \$ |

1/ Include only if you have not included it in the fixed costs.

It was considered fixed here, but growers using this study to compare their own costs may wish to include machinery maintenance costs in the variable costs.

When fixed or ownership costs are calculated, some costs are often forgotten, especially noncash items. To help remember what costs should be included, list the first letter of each category in Table 3-Depreciation, Interest, Repairs, Taxes, Insurance. Then it is only a matter of remembering what the letters stand for in the DIRTI 5.

Certain costs in Table 3 are noncash in that the farm business must cover them if it is to remain competitive and viable, but they are not cash expenses. These include depreciation and interest on owner's equity.

On our typical farm, the fixed costs total $\$ 77,065$ or $\$ 1,401$ per acre of celery produced. If this farm had no debts (investment = owner's equity) the cash fixed costs that must be paid - regardless of whether anything was produced - are $\$ 24,655$ or $\$ 449$ per acre of potential production.

## Variable Costs

The costs that vary with production are presented in Table 4. The per acre figures were arrived at in various ways. For some items, such as labor cost for cultivating, farmers knew the time required per acre and that figure was multiplied by an average hourly wage. For other items, such as fuel, the annual amount used on each of a number of farms was recorded; then each was divided by acres grown on that farm, and an average fuel per acre was calculated. Still other items were determined by comparing university recommendations with farmers' records. The total variable costs on the typical farm amount to $\$ 3,527$ per acre.

Table 4 can be used to decide whether to produce a crop. Unless the grower can be certain that crop revenues will at least cover the variable costs, it would be better to leave the land idle. Once the crop is planted and grown, the grower's decision of whether to harvest would logically be based not on total variable costs but instead only on those variable costs associated with harvesting and marketing.

## "Your Farm" Costs

For the present grower, Table 5 will assist in pulling together and calculating the per acre cost of production. The figures may be pulled from farm records and/or income tax records. If no figures are available for some items, the relevant figures in this study can be substituted.

The grower need not break down costs exactly as suggested here. For example, if the total fuel bill for the year is known, it is not necessary to divide it into growing and harvesting. Such a breakdown is only necessary in determining what portion of the total cost goes to growing vs. harvesting, etc.

A grower's per acre costs for certain items may vary considerably from those in the study. Many of these differences may be explained by the specific situation and are not a result of management. However, if any one cost is higher, or the total costs are considerably higher than those in this study, they should be analyzed carefully to see if they can be lowered in some way without lowering yields.

## Total Costs: Per Acre and Per Crate

Table 6 presents the variable and fixed costs for the typical farm on a per acre and per crate basis at three yield levels: 600,750 , and 900 crates per acre. The figures indicate that at 600 crates, returns did not cover total costs in 1983. However, at all three yield levels, price was greater than total variable costs. This indicates that farmers lost less by producing than by leaving the land idle. For example, at the 600 -crate yield, variable costs ( $\$ 3,123$ per acre) were covered, and there was enough additional return $(\$ 1,305)$ to cover most of the fixed costs $(\$ 1,401)$. This situation, though common, cannot continue to exist for many years without serious financial difficulties.

In itemizing the costs of producing the 55 acres of celery, no charge is included for management. The growers who provided raw data for the study felt that since they were so involved in the labor aspect of the business, they could not place a price on their management input. Therefore, any returns above total costs can be viewed as a return to management.
On our typical farm, at 750 and 900 crates there was a return above total costs. This return at the 750 -crate yield was $\$ 607$ per acre, or for the 55 -acre enterprise, the return to management was $\$ 33,385$. For the 600 -crate yield, the return to management would be negative.

One or more of three factors must change if a negative return is to be eliminated: an increase in yield, an increase in price or a decrease in cost. Given the figures presented here, the farm would just break even at about 650 crates per acre. The price paid per crate necessary to break even is $\$ 7.54$ at the 600 -crate yield, $\$ 6.57$ at the 750 -crate yield and $\$ 5.93$ at the 900 -crate yield. If the farm were to break even by lowering costs, costs would have to be lowered by $\$ .16$ per crate when producing 600 crates per acre.

## Comparing Michigan with Florida

Florida's celery production is different from Michigan's in many ways, but since Florida celery supplies many of the same markets as Michigan celery, it may be useful to compare their figures with ours. Table 7 makes this comparison, but one important inconsistency should be pointed out. Florida data are averages taken directly from the records of a few growers while Michigan figures represent a consensus of growers.

In spite of this inconsistency, the figures can be useful indicators for comparing costs across states. It is interesting to note that the total operating costs are similar in the two states, but there are major differences in the fixed costs. This reflects a much higher investment in land, buildings and equipment in Michigan than in Florida.

Yield is influenced by management but is also strongly dependent on weather. Timing and attention to details can influence price, but forces outside the farm are major determinants. Costs are influenced by weather and other outside forces, but many can be influenced greatly by management. This is evidenced by farm records that show farms with similar yields having widely varying costs for such items as chemicals, labor and utilities. Given the present prices for celery, growers must be extra concerned about cost control if they are to survive.

Table 6.
PER ACRE AND PER CRATE COSTS OF CELERY PRODUCTION At Three Yields, West Central Michigan, 1983

| Item | 600 Crates/A |  | 750 Crates/A |  | 900 Crates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Acre | Per Crate | Per Acre | Per Crate | Per Acre | Per Crate |
| Price <br> Gross income per acre | \$4,428 | \$7.38 | \$5,535 | \$7.38 | \$6,642 | \$7.38 |
| GROWING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 7 | \$ . 01 | \$ 7 | \$ . 01 | \$ 7 | \$ . 01 |
| Fertilizer | 153 | . 26 | 153 | . 20 | 153 | . 17 |
| Spray and dust | 284 | . 47 | 284 | . 38 | 284 | . 32 |
| Cultural labor | 408 | . 68 | 408 | . 54 | 408 | . 45 |
| Fuel, oil | 112 | . 19 | 112 | . 15 | 112 | . 12 |
| Supplies | 17 | . 03 | 17 | . 02 | 17 | . 02 |
| Utilities | 126 | . 21 | 126 | . 17 | 126 | . 14 |
| Total Growing | \$1,107 | \$1.85 | \$1,107 | \$1.47 | \$1,107 | \$1.23 |
| Int. on Oper.Cap. ( $13 \%-6 \mathrm{mc}$ ) | 72 | . 12 | 72 | . 10 | 72 | . 08 |
| Total Grow. + Int. | \$1,179 | \$1.97 | \$1,179 | \$1.57 | \$1,179 | \$1.31 |
| HARVESTING \& MKTG. COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, oil | \$ 10 | \$ . 02 | \$ 11 | \$ . 01 | \$ 12 | \$ . 01 |
| Containers, supplies | 660 | 1.10 | 825 | 1.10 | 990 | 1.10 |
| Labor | 572 | . 95 | 635 | . 85 | 700 | . 78 |
| Cooling | 360 | . 60 | 450 | . 60 | 540 | . 60 |
| Mktg, selling, research | 342 | . 57 | 426 | . 57 | 513 | . 57 |
| Total Harv., Mktg. | \$1,944 | \$3.24 | \$2,348 | \$3.13 | \$2,755 | \$3.06 |
| Total Variable | \$3,123 | \$5.21 | \$3,527 | \$4.70 | \$3,934 | \$4.37 |
| FIXED COST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | \$ 334 | \$ . 56 | \$ 334 | \$ . 45 | \$ 334 | \$ . 37 |
| Int. on investment | 619 | 1.03 | 619 | . 83 | 619 | . 69 |
| Repairs \& maintenance | 295 | . 49 | 295 | . 39 | 295 | . 33 |
| Taxes | 108 | . 18 | 108 | . 14 | 108 | . 12 |
| Insurance \& lic. | 45 | . 08 | 45 | . 06 | 45 | . 05 |
| Total Fixed | \$1,401 | \$2.34 | \$1,401 | \$1.87 | \$1,401 | \$1.56] |
| TOTAL COST (Var. + Fixed) | \$4,524 | \$7.54 | \$4,928 | \$6.57 | \$5,335 | \$5.93 |
| Net Return | \$-96 | \$ -. 16 | \$ 607 | \$ . 81 | \$ 1,307 | \$ 1.45 |

Table 7. COMPARISON OF COSTS AND RETURNS PER ACRE OF CELERY Between Michigan and F1orida 1982
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